Guardians of Tradition: Jewish Educational Practices in Jerusalem's Religious Context

Billy Jackson

Department of Religion, Ohio Wesleyan University

Daniel Andrews

School of Information Science, Claremont Graduate University

Tim Kano

Department of Communication Studies, Western Washington University

Background: While Schmitt's Political Theologypaints modern theories of the state as secularized theological concepts, prominent threads of Jewish religious education in 20th century Jerusalem have moved in a different direction, that is, toward the re-sacralization of such secularized theological concepts. Orthodox Jewish schools in Jerusalem, or yeshivot, take an orthopractic approach to religious education as informing all aspects of life, rather than a delimited set of doctrines or beliefs. As such, questions of security fall within the purview Jewish religious education. To look more closely at the relationship between orthodox Jewish religious education, sanctity and security, I spent seven months enrolled as a student-observer in three Jerusalem yeshivottaking daily field notes, conducting interviews, attending classes, and studying related sacred texts. By examining both Jewish sacred texts and ethnographic data from contemporary Jerusalem yeshivot, this article highlights how geo-political ideals of security in modern Jerusalem are being re-sacralized by contemporizing ancient sacred texts and approaching religious education itself as a means of eliciting divine aid in the securitization process for Jewish Jerusalem.

Keywords: Jerusalem; Jewish Education; Sanctification; Religious Education; Security

INTRODUCTION

While many of Judaism's theological references to security, warfare, and geo-politics had long since been interpreted in a strictly allegorical sense, with the establishment of the State of Israel, such theology attained a relevance theretofore unaddressed since antiquity (Cohen 2007). The re-introduction of sacred texts into the realm of modern securitization has not only brought the content of those texts

into the conversation, but the sacralization those texts imply, as well. While Schmitt's Political Theologypaints modern theories of the state as secularized theological concepts, contemporary Jewish religious education exhibits a different tendency, that is, toward the re-sacralization of such secularized theological concepts, security not least among them. One of the principle means by which contemporary Orthodox Judaisms in Jerusalem sacralize the security of Jerusalem is through the processes of teaching and learning within their religious communities.

Within Judaism's famed corpus of over six hundred commandments, Jewish scripture describes one—the commandment to teach and learn—as kaneged kulam(בנגד כולם): equal to all other commandments combined. By "studying, Jews see themselves as performing a holy act" (Holtz 1984, p. 24) whose divinely-ordained realization and links them to God, (Kadushin 1972, p. 213) who is said to teach and learn with them every day (Alexander 2001, p. 5). Inasmuch as teaching and learning are a means of walking in God's ways, (see Deuteronomy 10:12; 28:9) or, in other words, "perform[ing] actions like those God performs", (Seeskin 1996, pp. 191–203) participating in the process of education is a response to God's invitation to the Jewish people to be holy. In this sense, not only are teaching and learning considered a "sacred" and "holy pursuit" (Steinberg 1947, p. 67), but a pursuit with the power to sanctify those who participate therein (Neusner 2003, pp. xvii–xxii).

This capacity for consecration is among religious Jewish education's most transcendent and defining characteristics. The Hebrew word for "education", chinuch(הניוך), is derived from a root that "implies the initial entry of a person or an object into a trade or path that is his destiny". As such, from this etymological perspective, at least, the consecratory power of education extends beyond the human sphere, referring just as much "to the education of a child" as to "the consecration of the altar in the holy temple" (see Rashi's commentary on Parshat Lech Lecha). Further, education, or, as chinuch (קוינה) is also translated, consecration, implies "the actualization of a potential" (Shapira 1991, p. 4) which, according to Rabbinic tradition, inheres "in all ramifications of existence" (Shapiro 1965, p. 46). Such seeds of holiness remain dormant in all things until they emerge as mankind, through chinuch (קוינה), enables these "hallowed phases of reality to achieve their holiness in full" (Shapiro 1965).

One way in which Jewish education, or chinuch (קוינה), manifests its consecratory capacity is by playing an instrumental role in security. Broadly interpreted, prominent threads in Rabbinic thought (most notably outlined in the Nefesh Chaim), suggest that the continued existence of the entire cosmos depends on mankind's perpetual engagement in the process of Torah study. While God is believed to have used Torah1 to create the universe,2 "the sustenance of existence by Torah is achieved by Israel's study of Torah" (Lamm 1989, p. 106) In other words, the continued survival of all things in this world, including

"millions of holy, supramundane worlds" is made "conditional upon man's study of Torah" (Lamm 1989, p. 106). In this sense, sacred teaching and learning in this Jewish tradition are instrumental in safeguarding the security of all creation on the broadest scale they could illustrate.

"The undoubted truth", wrote Rabbi Chaim of Volozhin, "is that if all the world, from one end to the other were—Heaven forefend—void even for one moment of our study of and meditation on Torah, then immediately all the upper and lower worlds would be destroyed and revert to chaos and nothingness".3 Many considered the connection between the sanctifying act of teaching and learning and the security of the cosmos as a literal, instrumental relationship, rather than a symbolic gesture rich in meaning. Rabbi Chaim himself took this connection so seriously that he instituted "regular round-the-clock shifts (mishmarot) of students engaged in Torah study, including Sabbaths and holidays, in order to ensure the uninterrupted study of Torah" (Lamm 1989, p. 123) and, by association, the very survival of the universe itself. For those who study in Jerusalem, however, the cosmic security brought about through sacred educational pursuits takes a much more local flavor.

Beyond Jerusalem's general recognition as a holy city across various religious and academic contexts (Dumper 2014), key passages in Judaism's sacred textual tradition4 specifically describe Jerusalem as the world's spiritual center5, its most beautiful location6, the light of the world7, the origin of all creation8 and the epicenter of the connection between heaven and earth.9 And while diverse Jewish communities may approach contemporary issues surrounding Jer salem differently (e.g., interfaith relations, geopolitics, security, etc.), nearly all share a common understanding of Jerusalem as "uncontroversially and consensually . . . holy" (Dumper 2014, p. 99), and as such worthy of the greates security they can muster.

Despite the Talmud's descriptio of Jerusalem as a place f almost mystical safety ("a snake or scorpion never injured anyone in Jerusalem" 10, however, both historically and at present, one characteristic of the Holy City that seems capable of eclipsing tha holiness is its nagging reputation as a dangerous one. Among "at least 118 separate conflicts in and for Jerusalem during the past four millennia", (Cline 2004, p. 2) each year on Tish B'Av, the Jewish community mourns in remembrance of what they consider the worst among them: the destruction of the Holy City and its temple, first at the hands of the Babylonians in 586 BCE and again in 70 CE by the Romans under Titus. This mournful remembrance is made all the more poignant by rabbinic commentaries that explain why these catastrophes ever took place. Both Rebbi Natan's commentary 11 and Eichah Rabbah12 claim that the cause of Jerusalem's destruction in both instances as Israel's abandonment of Torahstudy. Contemporary rabbinic commentary continues to see uninterrupted religious education as crucial to the security of the

Jewish people in a markedly literal sense, even claiming that its abandonment was what "brought about the European Holocaust" (Selengut 1994, p. 247).

With both the weight of the cosmos and the safety of the Jewish people resting on their shoulders, it is not small wonder that many orthodox Jewish communities in Jerusalem pursue their studies quite literally as though their lives depended on it. Those within such communities tend to take one of two main approaches to contributing to Jerusalem's security in this religiously-motivated way. On the one hand, religious-Zionists believe that both Torahstudy and practical military defense are equally necessary parts of Jerusalem's security. Under Rav Kook in the early 20th century, many religious-Zionists took the stance that "the metaphysical and tangible criteria for Israel's survival" were "inextricably fused" (Cohen 2012, p. 43). As such, both active participation in religious education as well as military service were not only permissible, but seen as religious obligations for the orthodox community as a whole (Cohen 2007). While this position may not be as extreme as the haredistance outlined below, it still acknowledges the indispensable role of religious education to both the sanctity and security of Jerusalem as a holy place.

The haredi, or Ultra-Orthodox, view "maintains that Israel's security remains absolutely dependent upon God's will, and hence entirely contingent upon the transcendental forces over which He alone exercises sway" (Cohen 2012, p. 43). As such, the haredicontribution to Jerusalem's security is through Torahstudy alone with the understanding that "diligent study constitutes Israel's primary lifeline" and that, "by comparison, all conventional agencies of protection must be deemed totally irrelevant" (Cohen 2012, p. 43). In this sense, those who participate in religious education believe that "they contribute as much (if not more) than do soldiers to national survival" (Cohen 2012, p. 44) and that "if the government knew how much [Torah] students protect the state's well-being through their study, it would put guards in the schools, making sure that Torahstudy is never interrupted" (Selengut 1994, p. 245).

This has implications with regard to the dual definition of chinuch((הניוך), as both "education" and "consecration". Rabbi Shach, a prominent voice in harediJerusalem, posited that the security of the Jewish people in Jerusalem had more to do with Torahstudy than even the land itself. Because Abraham "possessed the Torahin Haran (i.e., before entering the Holy Land)", the Jews "became an everlasting people before [they] had the 'land of Israel' or 'territories'". This stance suggests that the Holy Land owes both its security and its sanctity in some degree to the religious education realized within its precincts. Rabbi Shach's idea, namely, that "other than the Torahwe have no security" (Doron 1988, p. 504) is supported both within the Jewish scriptural canon13 and by Peter's assertion that a holy city owes much of its sanctity to the religious education realized therein (Peters 1986).

In this sense, "based upon passages of rabbinic exegesis whose pedigree stretches back for almost 2000 years" (Cohen 2012, p. 44), the educative and consecratory dimensions of Judaic chinuch(הניוך), contribute both to the sanctity of Jerusalem itself as well as the physical security of those who live there. So intertwined are education's dual capacities for both consecration and securitization that, at least in this harediinterpretation of Jerusalem's sanctity and security, they are hardly distinguishable.

This connection between education, sanctity, and security runs deeply through the sacred texts and contemporary rabbinic commentary that inform Jerusalem's orthodox Jewish religious education. While this connection has been the subject of a rich legacy of research, little has been done to illustrate how those who daily participate in Orthodox Jewish religious education today implement and experience it. As such, this paper explores how the unique relationship between sanctity, religious education and security affect Orthodox Jews in contemporary Jerusalem yeshivot.

METHODS

In order to understand how the relationship between sanctity, education, and security in Jerusalem affected the lived experience of yeshivastudents there, I enrolled as a student-researcher in two orthodox yeshivotin Jerusalem. While enrolled over the course of seven months, I attended daily classes from morning until late into the night, studied with various learning partners (khavrusa) and conducted dozens of one-on-one interviews with students and rabbis. At the first veshiva, Merkaz David, I conducted eight interviews with students and another eight with rabbis. Each lasted approximately one hour and included semistructured questions about sacred dimensions of yeshivastudy generally. At Or Akiva, I conducted another five student interviews and nine with rabbis. Through daily observation, active participation in the community (Adler and Adler 1987; Fetterman 2010 qualitative interviews (Kvale and Brinkmann 2009), and detailed field notes (Emerson et al. 2011), in short, an ethnographic process, I gained intimate glimpses into the daily life of yeshivastudents and their teachers. By coming "to understand and be attentive to the feelings of another on their terms" (Mills and Morton 2013), I invited community members to teach me from their point of view (Spradley 2016). As part of my yeshiva studies, I also immersed myself in Judaism's sacred texts, studying the Babylonian Talmud, Tanakh, Mishnah, and other texts in the Jewish canon in their original Hebrew and Aramaic. In gathering fieldwork data, I followed commonly accepted qualitative research standards including member checking, transcript review, prolonged engagement, triangulation of data, progressive subjectivity checks, maintenance of an audit trail, and persistent observation and thick description (Lincoln and Guba 1985). I analyzed interview transcripts and field note journal entries in NVivo, coding them thematically.

My position and role as student-researcher within these yeshivotwas a delicate balance between Insider and Outsider. As I am not Jewish, I could not become an Insider without converting, which I was clear from the outset was not my intention. At the same time, in order to deeply investigate the community, I needed near-Insider access to lessons, interviews, and other rituals and gatherings. In speaking with yeshivaleadership, we addressed this issue by the clothes I wore to daily study. Students and rabbis wore black suits, white shirts and kippasto yeshivaeach day. In order to stand apart so that all students and rabbis would instantly recognize me as a non-student, I wore a blue tweed suit coat, brown trousers and a blue shirt with a tie. This showed, they explained, that I respected the sanctity of the space while also communicating that I was not a traditional student. In order to show that I was welcome in these sacred precincts, however, I was also invited to wear a kippa. As a traditional outward symbol of Jewish religiosity, my wearing of the kippashowed that I was welcome in the space and was respectful of its sanctity, even though the rest of my costume communicated that I was neither an Insider nor interested in converting to become one. As such, my position was neither Insider nor Outsider, but a blended, welcome participantobserver.

My non-Jewish status was problematic in the initial stages of the study. I approached over 50 yeshivotin Jerusalem to propose this study and only three agreed to grant me access. Due to the sanctity of the educative process conducted in these communities, this exclusivity is understandable. However, because I speak Hebrew, have a traditionally Jewish name and am of the proper age (18–30) and gender of traditional yeshivastudents in these orthodox communities, I was granted preliminary access. Unfortunately, had I been a woman, yeshivaleadership informed me, I would simply not have been granted access to these communities at all. By the same token, had I sought to ask these same questions in a more modern, all-female yeshiva, I would have encountered the same problem in reverse and not been granted access to those communities. Because of a strict separation between men and women during prayer and study in these particular orthodox Jewish communities, ethnographic research among them seems to consistently encounter this significant limitation.

After prolonged engagement with the students, I earned the trust of yeshivaleadership as I showed no interest or ulterior motive outside that of my primary investigation. My presence in the schools had little influence on the content of lessons or the study practices of students, as my position as student-research most closely resembled that of a newcomer to the yeshiva. As such, my presence was largely ignored by the rabbis during lessons. Interviews, as a rule, never interrupted the normal schedule of those involved in daily study. In this sense, it was my goal throughout to be as unobtrusive as possible throughout the

course of my fieldwork. In this way, I designed my ethnographic fieldwork to have minimal impact on their daily lives of study participants, reducing the potential negative impact of this research project on them.

ON JEWISH EDUCATION IN JERUSALEM

Jerusalemite religious education spans a spectrum from the state-of-the-art facilities of Israel's flagship Hebrew University, to the ascetic lifestyles of Eastern Orthodox monks, to Islamic madrassas (שעלוב) throughout the Old City and East Jerusalem. Jewish religious education in Jerusalem that departs from an exclusive focus on Talmudic study often has ties to the Jewish Enlightenment, or haskalah (הלקסה), in which the idea of teaching more than just Torah (הרות) in Jewish schools was introduced.14 This movement also included Hirsch's torah im derekh aretz concept (אָרא דְרַדְּ מֵע הַרְוֹת), which proposed that religious and secular topics could and in some cases should be taught together in a traditionally Jewish educational environment, like the הבשי (yeshiva). At present, education that involves Talmudic and academic topics falls under the auspices of Israel's State Religious Education (SRE), which itself has multifaceted and interrelated religious, modern and nationalistic educational goals for its students.

There is, in short, no one model of Jewish education in Jerusalem. There are, instead, many multifaceted Jewish educational movements that shape the aims, methods and structures of Jewish education, to which yeshivot (תובשי) are no exception. Outside of the SRE system are the Haredim (מידרה), who both seek to function independent of the State of Israel, and embrace an educational philosophy that tends to either exclude, or at the very least heavily de-emphasize, academic pursuits outside of daily Talmudic study. Apart from being a center for the Haredim (מידרה), Jerusalem is also a center for visiting yeshiva students from abroad, many of whom come in their gap year for intensive yeshiva study. Some Jerusalem yeshivot are kiruv (בוריק) oriented, inviting ba'ale tshuva (הבושת ילעב) to return from secular Judaism back to orthodoxy, orienting traditional Talmudic study toward re- familiarization with traditional Jewish values and worldviews. Many of these institutions can also have separate but related Zionist agendas, inviting visiting students to make aliyah (היילע) and permanently immigrate to the State of Israel. Even more closely related to the State of Israel are hesder (רדסה) yeshivot, which combine Israel's mandatory military service with Talmudic study within a religious Zionist framework. These few examples serve to illustrate the complex and multifaceted nature of Jerusalem's Jewish education, of which the two communities outlined here are only a small part.

In the course of my ethnographic fieldwork, I studied in three orthodox Jewish religious schools called yeshivot (singular, yeshiva). The first of these was Merkaz David. Located on the border of Mea Shearim, one of Jerusalem's most orthodox neighborhoods, it houses nearly 70 male students aged 20–29. While many of its students had come from abroad to study there for only a year or two, one elite group enrolled for three to four years in a rabbinical ordination program. Nine rabbis taught these young men six days a week (excluding the Jewish Sabbath) from six in the morning until late into the night. Daily study included three to five classes spread throughout the day between which between men and women during prayer and study in these particular orthodox Jewish co munities, ethnographic research among them seems to consistently encounter this significant limitation.

After prolonged engagement with the students, I earned the trust of yeshiva leadership as I showed no interest or ulterior motive outside that of my primary investigation. My presence in the schools had little influence on the content of lessons or the study practices of students, as my position as student-research most closely resembled that of a newcomer to the yeshiva. As such, my presence was largely ignored by the rabbis during lessons. Interviews, as a rule, never interrupted the normal schedule of those involved in daily study. In this sense, it was my goal throughout to be as unobtrusive as possible throughout the course of my fieldwork. In this way, I designed my ethnographic fieldwork to have minimal impact on their daily lives of study participants, reducing the potential negative impact of this research project on them.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Throughout the process of data collection and analysis, I acted as coresearcher with members of the yeshivacommunity to create ethnographic portraits and vignettes (Mills and Morton 2013). These are intended to provide the reader with an in-depth look at what the relationship between security, education and the sacred looks like in the everyday, lived experiences of students and teachers in contemporary Jerusalem's orthodox Jewish schools. The following three sections each begin with a short narrative that illustrates one dimension of this relationship, followed by a discussion of the implications that follow. The first outlines how those at Merkaz Davidobserved Israel's Independence Day by contributing to the very security it celebrated through Torahstudy. The second illustrates the lived experience of studying with the idea that the continued security of Judaism's holiest city, not to mention the sustained existence of the cosmos itself depends upon that study. The third vignette describes an example of a warrior-scholar who contributes to the religious-Zionist vision of Jerusalem's security, that is, both the study and by military action. Each of these examples is intended to illustrate a different dimension of the relationship between religious

education, holiness, and security within contemporary Jerusalem's orthodox communities.

The ethnographic vignettes that follow are not meant to establish the validity of the concepts outlined in the introduction regarding the relationship between religion, education and security among certain orthodox Jewish learning communities in Jerusalem. Instead, the vignettes that follow serve as a first step to exploring the lived experience of this dynamic relationship from an emic perspective. To this end, they give readers a preliminary, exploratory glimpse into the role that security plays in daily Torah study within these communities. Future research could address more nuanced dimensions of this relationship through indepth, qualitative interviews within similar communities. However, the current study serves as a first, introductory step into the ways in which the relationship between security, education, and religion as outlined in sacred texts is manifest in the lived experience and daily practices of these communities.

Yom Ha'atsmaut: Observing Israel's Independence Day

I awoke later than usual that morning. No buses were running, and I had to walk a little over a mile to arrive at the yeshiva.But despite the late start and a long walk, I wasn't worried of missing anything. It was Israel's Independence Day and as I passed row upon row of empty shops and streets, I only assumed I would find the same vacancy at the school when I arrived. The yeshivahad been full of students from morning until night since the day I'd arrived and, truth be told, if I hadn't wanted to see what it looked like when empty, I might have stayed home that day. But at the end of a long walk through empty streets, when I finally arrived at the entrance to the main study hall, it was just as full as if it had been any other day. Not a single student or rabbi I knew was absent from the chorus of studious discussion that greeted me.

As I stood in the entrance hoping to make sense of the situation, I noticed Rabbi Berg place a hand on my shoulder. "Rabbi", I said, "why is everyone here? It's a holiday and nearly everyone I know is either still at home or out of town to celebrate. Why are you all studying?" Rabbi Berg looked out at the crowd of students for a moment before he answered. "Some might take a private moment to pray on their own", he began, "to show their gratitude for the freedom to study as we do each day. But", he continued, "we study even today just like we would any other day". He gave me a knowing look, tapped my shoulder again with his hand and walked into the sea of students that filled the room with snatches of prayer and holy argument. Though the empty streets outside that day told another story, in here the sacred burden of Torahstudy lay so heavily on the shoulders of those who bore it that even on a day commemorating a new era for Jewish Jerusalem's security they remained.

Education as a Temporal Consecration

Those who studied at yeshivawere already enveloped in several layers and dimensions of holiness. Not only were we studying in Jerusalem's spatial sanctity, but in a temporal dimension of holiness, as well. In our intermediate state as neither outside the community nor yet fully-fledged Torahscholars as yet, we studied in a large-scale, transient, liminal state (Turner 1967). This kind of temporal sanctity is at home in the Jewish tradition. For instance, Heschel described how the Jewish people, living for centuries without physical materials with which to build a temple, built instead what he called "a palace in time", "made of soul, of joy and reticence" to act as "a reminder of adjacency to eternity" (Heschel 1951, p. 14). In this sense, time intentionally set apart for sacred purposes becomes "a paraphrase of [God's] sanctification" (Heschel 1951, p. 16).

In the case of yeshivastudents, the sacred purpose to which they had dedicated their time had been outlined in Torahalready. "And thou shalt teach ... diligently ... when thou sittest in this house, and when thou walkest by the way, and when thou liest down, and when thou risest up".19 In describing so many different situations in which one must continue to teach and learn, this scriptural passage demands a significant investment of time from its adherents. Inasmuch as students and rabbis dedicated their time to fulfilling this divine injunction in yeshivaeach day, then, they sanctified that time to a holy purpose. Participating in religious education, in this sense, has a capacity for sanctification.

Again, it was the absence of this temporal sanctification through teaching and learning Torah, the sages say, that led to some of the greatest losses of security in the history of the Jewish people. It is significant, then, that these students spent a day set apart for the celebration of Jerusalem's security to invest their time in Torahstudy as a way to assure that that security might be maintained by their efforts. While ignoring a national holiday might appear on the surface like an insular retrenchment, the motivating disposition behind it is more altruistic. For these students and teachers studied that day not just to maintain the integrity of the universe, but to protect their friends and families living within the precincts of its holiest city, the Eye of the Universe, even Jerusalem itself. This suggests that a primary motivation for religious education among many in Jerusalem's orthodox Jewish community is linked to the question of security and that part of education's sanctifying power is that it can contribute meaningfully to that security.

Rabbi Wichnin: A Matter of Life and Death

I was well into my third week at the Merkaz David Yeshivaand most of the students and rabbis had by then grown accustomed to my being among them. It hadn't been so easy on my first day. Students and teachers who otherwise would have remained entirely engrossed in the voluminous tomes propped up in front of them adjusted their glasses as they cast me second glances. Such had been the first

days of my time at yeshiva, but all that had changed as I had come to know those who studied with me there after long days and nights at the books. So it came as quite a shock when a rabbi I had never met before walked briskly up to me that day and, shaking a long white finger in my face as though it were a sword, asked, "Who are you and what are you doing here?"

After a brief though rather heated interview, he extended a hand in friendship and asked if I would walk with him. As we walked, though he no longer suspected me of trespassing, his tone lost none of its intensity. "Do you see these men around you?" he asked, gesturing to the hundreds of students seated at desks all around us. I nodded, trying to maintain eye contact with him as we weaved through the narrow labyrinth of rows between students at their desks. He suddenly stopped walking, fixed my gaze in his and said more seriously, "You must know of the sacrifice that goes on here every day." The sea of sound to which I'd grown accustomed over the past months washed over us as we stood in the middle of the room at the center of this man's whole world, the epicenter of his tradition's holiness on earth.

"Everyone here", he went on, his quiet, scruffy old voice somehow cutting through the chorus of shouts all around us, "everyone is willing to die for this tradition—not just once, but to die each day anew, killing themselves in eighteen hours of grueling study every single day". He placed a hand under my arm and, gripping it with surprising strength for a man his age, stared at me over his gold-rimmed spectacles. "If that doesn't make all this sacred", he said with finality, "I don't know what would." We continued to listen to the raucous debates that swirled all around us for a moment longer when, just as suddenly as he had appeared, Rabbi Wichnin released his grip, turned, and was gone. Disappearing into the crowd of students who awaited him, he went back to the sacrifice that made that place sacred—an education that made that place a school, a sanctum and a safety from the world.

Education as Sacrifice for Security

Key passages of Jewish scripture describe the process of teaching and learning as inherently and inescapably difficult.20 To study Torah, the Talmudmaintains, one must be willing to "submit himself like an ox to the yoke"21 or like a sleepless man to his toothache.22 One passage even describes Torah study as the arduous process of grinding wheat into one's flesh and blood so that it might turn into the bread of life (Patterson 2005). Rabbinic tradition tells of a moment when Moses, Judaism's archetypal teacher, asked Israel if they were aware of how difficult it was for him to learn Torahfrom God at Sinai. "Are you aware", he asked, "of the pain I suffered for Torah'ssake? The toil I put into it? The backbreaking labor I devoted to it?" Then, with in a stunning prophetic

pronouncement, he declared, "As I have learned it in pain, so you will learn it in pain."23

The difficulty of this process as well as the sacrifice involved therein both contribute significantly to education's consecratory power. This power, as noted earlier, is seen to sustain the vitality of the universe and the security of the Jewish people within it. Both students and rabbis at this yeshiva pursued their studies with a remarkable urgency and diligence, often beginning before dawn and always finishing late into the night. And all during those long hours, studies continued at a fever pitch that made the main study hall seem more like an emergency room than a library. Such sustained, earnest involvement in study suggests that, from the emic perspective of yeshivastudents and teachers, security's dependence on that study was much more than symbolic passage among many in the corpus of Jewish scripture. From this perspective, it played an integral role as a significant motivating factor behind their daily routines based on an abiding belief that Jerusalem's security depends in large part upon the daily efforts of teachers and students to actively study Torah. This further suggests that the relationship between the practice of religious education in contemporary Jerusalem and the security of those who live there is not a uniquely theoretical construct, but a dynamic, living relationship in the daily lives of many who live there. One dimension of the lived experience of this relationship is the motivation with which yeshivastudents and their rabbis engage in the rigors of daily Torah study.

Rabbi Stein: Wielding the Scroll and the Sword

I only ever met Rabbi Stein on a single occasion during my entire time at his yeshiva. He taught only the most advanced classes who were preparing for rabbinic ordination. And though his time was limited, his name was frequently the topic of discussion between classes and at mealtimes among the students, even among those who had never met him. The rarity of his appearance among ordinary students like us only fed his reputation. Only in his mid-40s, he had already read all 517 tractates of the Babylonian Talmud, a feat which many noteworthy Torahscholars go their entire lives without ever accomplishing.

He had, so yeshivastudents whispered, become so well-known for this achievement that he had been even more scarce at the school, spending more and more time responding to invitations to speak to eager students and their rabbis elsewhere around the Holy City. But it wasn't his intellectual prowess alone that made our conversations die when he passed us in the hall. It was that he had accomplished all this after returning home to Jerusalem from years of combat duty in the Israeli military. To the students, Rabbi Stein was more than a teacher, more than a role-model: he was a hero. And in their own way, whether they heard it from him or in the embellished whispers of another, they venerated everything he did and said.

When I finally had the chance to meet with Rabbi Stein, our interview lasted only 5 or 10 min. Yet, despite its brevity, it was one of the most impactful exchanges I was privileged to have with a rabbi during the course of my fieldwork in the Holy City. When we had finished discussing my usual questions on holiness, education and their relationship in the Jewish tradition, he quickly placed his hands on the table set between us, ready to lift himself from his chair to leave. But just then, his hands still resting on the table in front of him, he looked straight at me and asked, "Will there ever be peace?" I looked right back and, before I could respond, listened as he, sighing deeply, continued, "We hit them. They hit us. It goes on and on. Will it ever be enough?" Not knowing what to say, I gave him a sympathetic look and shrugged my shoulders. "Please", he said as tears welled up in his eyes. "Please tell people what you've seen here. Tell the world what we're doing within these walls—what we're doing for peace. Will you do that?" As I nodded, he smiled gratefully and hurried off to another lesson, intent on doing his part for the sanctity and security of the students and the Holy City they inhabited.

Hero Worship: The Scroll and Sword

Those who taught alongside Rabbi Stein at his yeshivahad come from many different backgrounds. Some were ba'ale t'shuvah,those who had grown up in non-observant households only to become religious later in life. Others had trained at the finest yeshivotin Mea Shearim,one of Jerusalem's most ultra-orthodox neighborhoods. Still others were religious Zionists who saw the establishment and continued survival of Israel and Jerusalem as dependent upon God and their own practical efforts. Having grown up in a non-observant home, Rabbi Stein himself was a ba'al t'shuvah, which made his completion of the Babylonian Talmud so early in life all the more miraculous in the eyes of his students, many of whom had grown up in non-observant homes themselves, as well.

But most noteworthy of all to his students was Rabbi Stein's involvement in the military. Given the choice between military service and Torahstudy as a way to contribute to Jerusalem's security ("the sword" or "the scroll") (Cohen 1997), all the students at this yeshivahad chosen the latter. Far from alienating him from his students, however, Rabbi Stein's decision to do both instilled in his students an even deeper awareness of the importance of Jerusalem's security as well as Torahstudy's contribution to that security. Despite having seen active combat in defense of the Holy Land, Rabbi Stein still considered Torahstudy as a crucial contribution to the defense and security of that land. Had this role model for the students considered his military contributions to Jerusalem's safety sufficient, the students often considered amongst themselves, he would not have invested, and

continue to invest, so much of his life in the pursuit of this alternate, sanctifying means of securing this goal.

That this was what motivated him in his studies became clear in the last moments of our brief interview together. After describing what he considered the continued futility of continued military action, he emphasized how important his work at the yeshivawas for the sake of peace and protection. Rather than ask me to tell the world what he had done on the battlefield, he invited me to share what he and his students were doing for peace through study. While the faith of the harediposition that study and study alone is sufficient for Jerusalem's security, Rabbi Stein's position emphasizes the instrumental power of religious education in a different light. While maintaining that practical military defense is integral to security, he maintains that such efforts seem futile without intervention from another source. His means of accessing what he believes to be divine aid in this pursuit is religious education. This belief, in turn, is believed to consecrate the concept of security by ascribing to the belief that, without Torahstudy as a means of invoking divine intervention, the fighting for Jerusalem's security would never end. Even from a non-harediperspective, then, the relationship between religious education and security appears instrumental, made contingent on the sanctifying influence of Judaic chinuch(הניוך), consecration through education.

CONCLUSIONS

Seen as "the breath of their nostrils, their greatest joy and the finest portion of their lives" (Montefiore and Loewe 2012), in contemporary Judaisms, teaching and learning are seen as a sanctifying practice (Neusner 2003) that links them to God (Kadushin 1972, p. 213), "to Judaism uniquely among religions, the processes of learning are sacred and study a holy pursuit" (Steinberg 1947, p. 67). The sanctity of Jewish education, or chinuch(הניוך), is again considered instrumental in that it enables the dormant, inherent sanctity in all things "to achieve their holiness in full" (Shapiro 1965). Part of that consecratory power lies in education's capacity to create security, both on a macrocosmic24 as well as a microcosmic25 level. Simply stated, "other than the Torah[the Jewish people] have no security" (Doron 1988, p. 504). Thi cl se relationship between religious education and security plays an active role in the daily lives of Torahstudents and teachers in orthodox Jewish learning communities in Jerusalem tod y. It manifests it elf through temporal consecration, personal sacrifice and an abiding beli f in the instrumental efficacy of Torahstudy to bring about conditions of divinelysanctioned security in the universe as well as what they consider its holiest city, Jerusalem.

Conflicts f Interest: The author declares o conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Adler, Patricia, and Peter Adler. 1987. Membership Roles in Field Research. Th usand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Alexander, Hanan. 2001. God as Te cher: Jewish Reflections o a Theology of Ped gogy. Journal of Beliefs and Values22: 5–17. [CrossRef]
- Ayalon, Hanna, and Yogev Abraham. 1998. Torah with secular studies (Torah im derekh Eretz): The alternative p rspecti for State-religious high school education. In Curricula as Social Reconstruction. Edited by Hanna A alon. Tel Aviv: Ram t Publishers, Tel Aviv Unive sity, pp. 33–54.
- Berger, Shalom. 1997. A Year of Study in an Isra li Yeshiva Program: Befor nd After. Ph.D. dissertation, Azrieli Graduate Scho l of Education and Administration, Yeshiva University, New Y rk, NY, USA.
- Birnbaum, Eli, and Menachem Persoff. 1989. The Israel Yeshiva Guide for Ov rseas Students. Jer salem: OU/NCSY.
- Cline, Eric. 2004. Jerusalem Besieged: From Ancient Canaan to Modern Israel. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Cohen, Stewart. 1997. The Scroll or the Sword? Dilemmas of Religion and Military Service in Israel. Amsterdam: Harwood.
- Cohen, Stewart. 2007. The Re-Discovery of Orthodox Jewish Laws Relating to the Military and War (Hilkhot Tzavah U-Milchamah) inn Contemporary Israel: Trends and Implications. Israel Studies12: 1–28. [CrossRef]
- Cohen, Stuart. 2012. Divine Service? Judaism and Israel's Armed Forces. Farnham: Ashgate.
- Doron, A. 1988. Medinat Yisrael ve-eretz Yisrael. Tel Aviv: Ha-Kibbutz ha-Meuchad.
- Dumper, Michael. 2014. Jerusalem Unbound: Geography, History & the Future of the Holy City. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Emerson, Robert, Rachel Fretz, and Linda Shaw. 2011. Writing Ethnographic Fieldnotes. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Feiner, Shmuel. 2002. The Enlightenment Revolution: The Jewish Haskalah Movement in the Eighteenth Century.. Jerusalem: Zalman Shazar Center.
- Fetterman, David. 2010. Ethnography: Step-by-Step. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Gross, Zehavit. 2002. The religious-Zionist identity of female graduates from the State-religious education system.
- Jewish Culture in the Eye of the Storm2002: 200–32.
- Heschel, Abraham. 1951. The Sabbath. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
- Holtz, Barry. 1984. Introduction: On Reading Jewish Texts. In Back to the Sources: Reading the Classic Jewish Texts.
- Edited by Barry Holtz. New York: Simon & Schuster, p. 24.
- Kadushin, Max. 1972. The Rabbinic Mind. New York: Bloch Publishing.
- Kvale, Steinar, and Svend Brinkmann. 2009. Interviews: Learning the Craft of Qualitative Research Interviewing. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Lamm, Norman. 1989. Torah Lishmah: Torah for Torah's Sake: In the Works of Rabbi Hayyim of Volozhin and His Contemporaries. Hoboken: Ktav Publishing House.
- Lincoln, Yvonna, and Egon Guba. 1985. Naturalistic Inquiry. Newbury Park: Sage Publications.

Mills, David, and Missy Morton. 2013. Ethnography in Education. Thousand Oaks: Sage.

Montefiore, Claude, and Herbert Loewe. 2012. Introduction to a Rabbinic Anthology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Neusner, Jacob. 2003. Invitation to the Talmud: A Teaching Book. Oregon: Wipf and Stock Publishers.

Patterson, David. 2005. Hebrew Language and Jewish Thought. London: Routledge Curzon.

Peters, Francis Edward. 1986. Jerusalem and Mecca: The Typology of the Holy City in the Near East. New York: New York University Press.

Schweid, Eliezer. 2002. History of The Philosophy of The Jewish Religion in Modern Times. Part I: The Haskalah Period—A New Agenda for Philosophically Coping with Religion. Jerusalem: Am Oved Publishers, Jerusalem: Shechter Institute for Judaic Studies.

Seeskin, Kenneth. 1996. Holiness as an Ethical Ideal. The Journal of Jewish Thought and Philosophy5: 191–203. [CrossRef]

Selengut, Charles. 1994. By Torah Alone: Yeshivah Fundamentalism in Jewish Life. In Accounting for Fundamentalisms. Edited by Martin E. Marty and R. Scott Appleby. Chicago: Chicago University Press.

Shapira, Kalonymus. 1991. The Student's Obligation. Northvale: Aronson.

Shapiro, David. 1965. The Meaning of Holiness in Judaism. Tradition7: 46–80.

Spradley, James. 2016. The Ethnographic Interview. Belmont: Waveland Press.

Steinberg, Milton. 1947. Basic Judaism. San Diego: Harcourt, Brace & World.

Turner, Victor. 1967. The Ritual Process: Structure and Anti-Structure. New Brunswick: Aldine Transaction.